CONTENTS

Selected Papers from the 5th International Conference on ELT in China

Powerful Tests, Powerless Test Designers? — Challenges Facing the College English Test

.................. Jin Yan 3

Optimizing the Limited Resources for More Efficiency in Teacher Development Programs in China ........... Zhou Yan 12

Lessons from My Fair Lady about Quality English Language Teaching: Supervising Research Projects Relevant to Master Students' Careers Aspirations .................. Michael Singh, Qi Hongying & Hu Jin 22

A Utilization Focused Approach to the Evaluation of a Web-based Hybrid Conversational Mandarin Program in a North American University ......................

Yao Zhang Hill & Stephen Tschudi 37

Task Types and Attention Allocation in Output Processing — A Study from the Perspective of Self-repairs ............ Chen Yaping 55

Assessment Processes for Emergent Biliteracy in English and Chinese of a Five-year Old Chinese Girl in the United States ..............

.................. Ran Hu & Michelle Conmeyras 67

EFL Teacher Professional Development through Critical Reading Pedagogy: Meeting the Challenges in the Asian Classroom ..................

.................. Lawrence Jun Zhang 76

ELF Motivations and Autonomy in English Learning: An Investigation of Chinese Non-English Major Undergraduates ..........

.................. Liu Honggang 82

Corpus Study of Verb-Non Lexical Collocations in EFL Textbooks ......................

Jui-hsin Teresa Wang & Robert L. Good 98

SLA

Learning Strategy Training and Listening Proficiency: An Empirical Study of Non-English Major Undergraduates ............

.................. Ma Jiangtao 109

The Study of Mother Tongue Use in College English Classrooms ..................

.................. Cui Min & Yuan Li 119
中文目录

第五届中国英语教学国际研讨会专辑

高风险考试设计者的社会责任：四.六级考试面临的挑战
…………金艳 3

充分利用有限资源，提高教师教育的效益：中国高校英语教师培养模式研究
…………周燕 12

实证性研究及高校素质教育——以电影为媒介
研究与学生未来职业相关问题
…………Michael Singh 齐洪英 吴锦 22

用以利用率为核心的方法评估一所北美大学开设的基于网络的混合汉语口语课程
…………Yao Zhang Hill & Stephen Tschudi 37

任务类型与输出中的注意力分配——来自自我修正角度的分析
…………陈亚平 55

关于一个在美国的五岁中国儿童在中英文双语发展测评过程的研究
………………胡冉 Michelle Commeiras 67

探索外语教师职业发展的新途径：批评性阅读教学及其在亚洲课堂教学实践中所面临的挑战
…………张军 76

非英语专业大学生英语学习动机与自主性英语学习能力相关性研究
…………刘宏刚 82

英语教科书之动词一名词字词搭配：语料库研究
…………王瑞欣 Robert L. Good 98

二语习得

学习策略培训对中学生听力理解影响之实证研究
………………马江涛 109

母语在大学英语教学中的使用研究
………………崔敏 常丽 119

中文摘要
……………………………………… 127
ELF MOTIVATIONS AND AUTONOMY IN ENGLISH LEARNING: AN INVESTIGATION OF CHINESE NON-ENGLISH MAJOR UNDERGRADUATES

Liu Honggang
Peking University

Abstract
Taking EFL motivation as one influential variable on autonomy in English learning (AEL), this study tries to probe into the relationship between EFL motivations and AEL by conducting The investigation into Non-English major undergraduates' EFL motivations and their autonomy in English learning among 471 non-English majors with quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitative and qualitative analysis interpret the correlations between motivations and AEL. In addition, suggestions of cultivating learners' AEL by triggering their various motivations are presented. In the end, the limitations of this study and recommendations for future studies on this topic are pointed out.
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1. Introduction
Over the past 30 years, considerable research has been conducted on learner autonomy (LA henceforth) in the field of English education. The research home and abroad focuses on the definitions, descriptions and analyses of theoretical bases of LA or strategies of its application. When LA is mentioned as the ability to be cultivated, it is undeniable to take individual differences into consideration, which is playing the vitally influential role in English teaching. Motivation, one of the key factors that influence the rate and success of second/foreign language (L2) learning (Dörnyei 1998:117), definitely exerts its effects on the fostering of LA in English learning. But less attention has been placed on the relationship between motivation and LA. The current paper attempts to unveil the linkage of these two variables by conducting an exploratory investigation among non-English major undergraduates.

2. Literature review
2.1 Chinese undergraduates' motivation types
Previous studies on Chinese students' English learning motivation mostly follow the classical model and its expansions regarding motivation types (Wu, Liu & Jeffrey 1993; Wen & Wang 1996; Zhou 1996; Wen 2001; Qin & Wen 2002; Shi 2002; Wang & Liu 2002; Yang 2002), which partially reflects the EFL motivation types in the Chinese context. To discover Chinese students' EFL motivation types deeply, Gao and her colleagues (2003) attempt a primarily exploratory, bottom-up classification of English learning motivations. In this study, the seven factors are named and labeled as (1) Motivation of learning situation (M1); (2) Instrumental motivation (M2); (3) Cultural motivation (M3); (4) Motivation of intrinsic interest (M4); (5) Motivation of social responsibility (M5); (6) Motivation of going abroad (M6); (7) Motivation of individual development (M7); (8) Motivation of immediate achievement (M8); and (9) Motivation of information medium (M9). As Figure 1 shows, M2 consists of M5, M6, M7, M8, M9;
and M3 includes M4, M5 and M6.

- M1 Motivation of learning situation
- M2 Instrumental motivation
- M3 Cultural motivation
- M4 Motivation of intrinsic interest
- M5 Motivation of social responsibility
- M6 Motivation of going abroad
- M7 Motivation of individual development
- M8 Motivation of immediate achievement
- M9 Motivation of information medium

Figure 1. Chinese undergraduates’ motivation types

2.2 Autonomy in English Learning (AEL)

Hoelle is considered as the pioneer to bring the concept of LA into foreign language education. He regards LA as the ability to take charge of one’s own learning (1981:3). Afterwards, many researchers define LA in various ways. As Benson summarized that when LA is defined, some scholars consider it to be an ideal state, seldom actually achieved, where learners are fully responsible for decisions about their own learning; for others, it represents a set of skills that can be learned and for others still, autonomy is “an inborn capacity that is suppressed as we go through the processes of institutional education” (Benson 1996:27). In this study, taking account of the present college English teaching situations in China, Autonomy in English Learning (AEL), a working name of LA, is defined as the learners’ competence to control their English learning consciously. Autonomous learners are able to consciously (1) formulate their own learning goals and plan their English learning; (2) make use of effective English learning strategies; and (3) monitor and evaluate their own learning process.

2.3 Voices of the relationship between motivation and AEL

On the issue of the relationship between motivation and AEL, two voices can be heard from different scholars.

Voice 1: LA leads to motivation

Deci and Ryan (1985:29) state that “Intrinsic motivation will be operative when action is experienced as autonomous”. They also say that an autonomy-supporting learning situation provides conditions for the development of intrinsic motivation.

Dickinson (1995) reviews the link between motivation and autonomy from intrinsic and extrinsic, integrative and instrument and cognitive point of view and comes to the conclusion that autonomous learners become more highly motivated.

Dörnyei and Csizér (1998) also suggest that motivation is a result of learner autonomy.

Voice 2: Motivation precedes LA

Spratt and Chan (2002) find that in many cases motivation precedes autonomy through a large-scale study of Hong Kong tertiary students’ readiness for learner autonomy in language learning. Based on the data from the questionnaire and interviews, they found that the higher motivation the students possess, the greater willingness they have to take part in the out-class activities autonomously. As they state “In these students’ eyes, motivation would appear to precede autonomy. . . . Low motivation . . . discouraged
the pursuit of autonomous activities" (Spratt & Chan 2002:256).

Cotterall (1999) focuses on "self-efficacy", rendering supports to the idea that motivation can be a precursor of successful autonomous learning. In her point of view, self-efficacy includes "the learners' confidence in their overall ability to learn the language, as well as their ability to achieve more specific language goals" (Cotterall 1999:252). Self-efficacy and effort, both part of a motivational construct, would predispose LA.

Littlewood considers learners' ability and willingness to assume responsibility for their learning to be "at the core of the notion of autonomy" and that "Willingness depends both on the motivation and the confidence to take responsibility for the choices required" (1996:428). In his view motivation is a necessary precursor of autonomy.

Ushioda holds that without motivation there is no autonomy. She defines motivation in the context of autonomy as "the establishment of principles for developing effective motivational thinking as an integral dimension of learner autonomy" (1996:3). In the logic way, learner autonomy, "not only entails a capacity for effective self-management of motivation but also presupposes that the learner will bring a degree of motivation to the learning situation, since without motivation, there is no autonomy" (1996:40).

The above two voices wake us (1) the relevant studies are all narrowed down to the elaboration of initial direction of motivation and LA; (2) no quantitative studies draw special attention on their linkage; and (3) there is no such a study in China. Further detailed findings of their relationship can only be achieved when researchers take new perspective and research methods. In this paper, motivation is taken as one variable influencing AEL. With the complementary of qualitative method, the quantitative approach will be adopted as the major way to investigate into their relationship in-depth.

3. Research design

3.1 Research questions

This paper attempts to firstly make clear of the question: "Is there any correlation between non-English major undergraduates' EFL motivations and their AEL?" If it receives the positive answer, then the following questions will be studied further (1) How does the motivation correlate with AEL on the whole? (2) What about the correlations between sub-motivations and AEL respectively? and (3) How to interpret all the correlations?

3.2 Subjects

This study involves 471 second-year non-English major undergraduates in all. 220 of them major in Management, Computer Science and Engineering and Automatic Control from Shenyang Institute of Aeronautical Engineering (SIAE) and 251 major in Business Administration from Southwest Petroleum Institute (SWPI). In a sense, it is more typical to choose samples from the two institutes because they are on the same level in the Chinese higher education system while locating in different areas of China (SIAE lies in the northeast of China while SWPI is located in the southwest of China).

3.3 Instruments

This study is quantitative-focused with the complementary of qualitative approach. Therefore, questionnaire and interview are selected to be the instruments to elicit data. The interview was conducted in semi-structured way.

The whole anonymously designed questionnaire contains four sections with regard to (1) the introduction of the purposes of this study and instructions for completing the questionnaire; (2) background of the respondents, such as, student number, period of English learning, major, and scores of CET-4 if passed; (3) AEL questionnaire (23 items); and (4) motivation types questionnaire (25 items). The questionnaires of AEL and motivation types came out in the formal study after two-round pilot modifications by referring to Xu Jinfen et al's LA questionnaire and Gao Yihong et al's motivation types questionnaire respectively. As Table 1 indicated, the two parts obtain higher cronbach alpha coefficients with deleting some items. The questionnaires employed Likert-five point scale to rate each item.
Table 1. Cronbach alpha coefficients of questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AEL</th>
<th>Motivation Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st pilot study</td>
<td>.8851</td>
<td>.8390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd pilot study</td>
<td>.8743</td>
<td>.8452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal study</td>
<td>.8792</td>
<td>.8489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within the AEL part, the subjects were asked to rate each item ranging from 1 (This is extremely untrue of my situation) to 5 (This is extremely true of my situation) was adopted. The current questionnaire simplified five dimensions of the original by Xu et al (2004) to three based on the characteristics in part 2.2 of the literature review. Table 2 outlines the components of AEL section.

Table 2. Distributions of items in AEL part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Focus of each section</th>
<th>Distributions of items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEL1</td>
<td>Formulate appropriate learning objectives and plans</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEL2</td>
<td>Select and implement learning strategies</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEL3</td>
<td>Monitor and evaluate one’s learning</td>
<td>10-23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the part of motivation types, marks of each item were given from 1 (I strongly disagree with this statement) to 5 (I strongly agree with this statement). Table 3 unfolds the distributions of items in this part.

Table 3. Distributions of items in motivation part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Focus of each section</th>
<th>Distributions of items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Motivation of learning situation</td>
<td>27, 28, 29, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Instrumental motivation</td>
<td>25, 26, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Cultural motivation</td>
<td>24, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>Motivation of intrinsic interest</td>
<td>24, 37, 38, 39, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>Motivation of social responsibility</td>
<td>40, 42, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>Motivation of going abroad</td>
<td>44, 45, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>Motivation of individual development</td>
<td>32, 33, 35, 47, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>Motivation of immediate achievement</td>
<td>25, 26, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>Motivation of information medium</td>
<td>34, 36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Procedures of the study

At the end of November 2004, the formal investigation was conducted after a small group-discussion and two pilot studies (see Table 3). In the early two weeks of December 2004, 3 subjects from SIAE and 4 subjects from SWPI were interviewed at their will. Every interview lasted no more than 30 minutes, in the course of which note-taking was used to record interviewees’ statements on the questions for the purpose of creating a pleasant atmosphere to decrease the interviewees’ anxieties thus obtained more authentic data.

Table 4. Summary for the conduction of the questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affiliation of subjects</th>
<th>Total number of collected questionnaires</th>
<th>Valid number of collected questionnaires</th>
<th>Valid percentage</th>
<th>Total valid percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st pilot study</td>
<td>SIAE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd pilot study</td>
<td>SWPI</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal study</td>
<td>SIAE</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWPI</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results and discussions

With the aid of SPSS 11.5, the data were handled and the results, as Table 5 demonstrates, render an empirical answer to the first research question of the current study, that is to say, there do exist correlations between motivation and AEL. In the following parts, the analysis and discussions of the findings will be illustrated in detail.

Table 5. Correlations between motivations and AEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M8</th>
<th>M9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.395** .054</td>
<td>.394** .513** .239** .187** .264** .400** .288** .414**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEL</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>2-tailed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* < .05 ** < .01

4.1 On the relationship between motivation and AEL in general

The results of the study show that, on the whole, motivation correlates with AEL (r = .395**) at significant level (.000) (see Table 5), which gives us an empirical proof that there really exists a link between motivation and AEL (Knowles 1975; Dickinson 1995; Nole et al. 1991; Wu 2001; Ecclestone 2002; Spratt & Chan 2003; Gao & Sun 2003; He 2003; Xu & Zhan 2004 etc.). The positive correlation between motivation and AEL means that the more you are motivated, the more AEL you own or the more autonomously you perform in English learning, the stronger motivation you have. Therefore we obtain the answer to the question of whether there are correlations between motivation and AEL on the whole. Dickinson (1995, 165) stated “A common theme in justifications for autonomy, especially in general education but also in language learning, is that autonomous learners become more highly motivated and that autonomy leads to better, more effective work...” Knowles (1975, 14) claims that “...there is convincing evidence that people who take the initiative in learning (proactive learners) learn more things and learn better than do people who sit at the feet of teachers, passively waiting to be taught (reactive learners). ... They enter into learning more purposefully and with greater motivation”. Ecclestone (2002) also contends that motivation, as one significant factor among those affective ones, may link to different autonomy.

4.2 On the relationship between motivation of learning situation and AEL

The results tell us that there is no significant correlation (see Table 5) between motivation of learning situation and AEL (r = .054), which means M1 exerts little influence on AEL.

Motivation is a dynamic one (Dörnyei 1994) and different motivations play different roles in facilitating autonomous English learning. This investigation was conducted on November 2004. In this period, some students may strive to the preparations for CET-4; therefore instrumental motivation, concretely speaking, motivation of immediate achievement may influence more on the students’ autonomous English learning, whose qualitative evidence can be found from the interviews of student A as follows:

**Student A (female) (Do you like learning English?)** Tell you the truth, I learn English just for the diploma of college study. (Why?) It is known to us that English plays the most important role in our life. If I can’t pass CET-4, I’ll lose my graduation certificate. If I fail the CET-6, I will be in a passive position in the competition of job hunting in near future. I must learn English well. (Can you describe your English learning in detail?) Ok. I recited the words on the CET-4 vocabulary handbook without being forced by the English teacher. I bought several books on CET-4 and completed the simulated papers one by one. I make a plan by myself which regulates how many words I should recite, how many reading comprehensions I should complete and how much time I should spend on the listening comprehension every day. (Did you do that according to your plan?) Yes, most of time!
From A's statements, it is learned that the motivation of immediate achievement contributes more than other motivations to her autonomous English learning.

In addition, does this result mean that motivation of learning situation is an all-or-nothing factor on AEL? The answer is NO! From the results, it is found that there is weak correlation between motivation of learning situation and AEL ($r = .054$, see Table 5), which means there is no SIGNIFICANT rather than NO correlation between them. Therefore, it is reasonable to say, motivation of learning situation have some influence on AEL.

Theoretically speaking, the formation and promotion of AEL need supportive environment rather than happen in isolation (Higgs 1988; Esch 1996; Hua 2001; Zhang 2004). Higgs (1988: 41-42) defines autonomous learning (within the context of a learning institution) as a process in which learners take responsibilities for his or her own learning, perform learning activities and solve problems associated with the learning task independently (see Figure 2). Task, teacher and environment interact together, thus the successful outcome of an autonomous learning experience depends on the extent to which each element is consistent with the others.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2)

**Figure 2.** The interactions among environment, task and teacher in the process of autonomous English learning (Higgs 1988:42)

In Higgs’ eye, learners learn English autonomously within the interaction among task, teacher and environment.

Zhang (2004) also considers the environment as one component of LA. Learners should be given amount of chance to develop the abilities of being responsible for their own learning. Without the environment, such as teachers, teaching equipment and learning materials, it is impossible to cultivate their AEL.

The above arguments all emphasize the importance of learning environment (situation/context). Learners motivated by such a supportive learning situation, to some degree, may learn English more autonomously.

To sum up, there is no significant correlation between motivation of learning situation and AEL. But in a sense motivation of learning situation is a factor that can affect students' English learning. A supportive learning environment bridges the stimulation of motivation of learning situation with AEL fostering, i.e., in that environment, the learners' stimulated motivation of learning situation may trigger their willingness to learn English autonomously.

4.3 On the relationship between instrumental motivation and AEL.

From the result of Pearson correlation analysis in Table 5, it is clear to observe the instrumental motivation correlates with AEL ($r = .394^{**}$) at the significant level, which means the more a student is motivated by instrumental motivation, the more s/he is likely to perform autonomously in her/his English
learning. The following researchers’ elaborations support the findings.

Lukmani (1972) states that instrumental motivation makes itself more important when language learners have less or no chance to use target language to communicate with native speakers.

Dörnyei (1990) holds that instrumental motivation contribute more to foreign language learners, in a sense, than to the second language learners, because foreign language learners have insufficient exposure to the target culture and therefore they are uncommitted to integrating with the target community.

Oxford (1996) considers the instrumental motivation to be more meaningful for most foreign language learners.

In the Chinese context, English is learned and taught as a foreign rather than a second language (Hu 2003; 4). Therefore, reconsiderations should be taken as far as the roles of instrumental in the English learning are concerned. Amount of research tend to the view that instrumental motivation is the main variable influencing students’ English learning (Gui 1986; Wang 1989; Zhou 1996; Dang 2001) which verifies the conclusions by Dörnyei (1990) and Oxford (1996) etc.

Gui (1986) made the earliest quantitative investigation into English majors’ social-psychology, one result of which indicates that the students hold higher instrumental motivation.

Wang (1989; 48) states that in Mainland China, most of the foreign language learners know little about the target community culture and people before they learn the target language. Among those learners, most of them learn a foreign language for the instrumental purposes, such as, passing various examinations, finding a good job etc. Thus instrumental motivation has a greater prominence in the process of Chinese students’ English learning.

Zhou (1996) concludes that most of subjects in her investigation possesses instrumental motivation and proposes an English learning model for Chinese students taking instrumental motivation as its focus; instrumental motivation = goal + effort + investment on the non-English activities.

Therefore it is reasonable to say that in the Chinese context, many learners hold the instrumental motivation. It is believed that those students tend to take responsibilities to their own English learning for some instrumental purposes, such as, finding a good job and obtaining various “useful” certificates etc.

4.3.1 On motivation of individual development and AEL

Results of the data processing gives a picture that M7 and AEL correlate positively with r = .264 * (see Table 5) at the significant level of .000, which means the more you are motivated by motivation of individual development, the stronger AEL you own.

Firstly, as one sub-components of instrumental motivation that shows a positive correlation with AEL at the significant level, M7 has the possibilities to the positive correlation with AEL.

Moreover, the descriptions of M7 imply that learners with M7 consider English as a useful tool for their future developments. So they may be more responsible for their own English learning.

4.3.2 On motivation of immediate achievement and AEL

Motivation of immediate achievement correlates with AEL with r = .400 * * at the significant level of .000, which leads us to the idea that the more learners are motivated by this type of motivation, the stronger their AEL will be.

Ho (1995) presents us with illustrations on the specific achievement motivation “the cultural emphasis on achievement contributed to the carrying out of actions and the accomplishments of tasks, moving the learners towards autonomy. From another point of view, it may be said that such achievement-motivated actions and tasks actually constituted acts of autonomy” (Ho 1995; 241). Nowadays, the pressure from the CET-4 and CET-6, especially, the former, in a sense, is one criterion to judge the qualities of college English teaching; some universities or colleges consider the proportion of passing the two national English tests (CET-4 and CET-6) at one time as the label of teaching qualities.
and some even connected the CET-4 with the diploma, i.e., those who failed to pass CET-4 before graduation have no qualifications to get the final diploma. Under such a learning environment, some students who experienced various examinations from primary school study, show a great desire to pass CET-4 as early as possible in order to obtain the final certificate, which, in their opinion, is the only objective of the college learning. With this motivation, they are likely to learn more autonomously and much harder.

4.3.3 On motivation of information medium and AEL

The study finds out that motivation of information medium correlates with AEL ($r = 0.288^{* *}$) at the significant level of .000. Motivation of information medium is a newly-found motivation by Gao et al, which means that learners treat English learning as one medium to broaden their eyes on the development of certain subjects, for example, physics, outside of China. Nowadays, the bilingual teaching is carried out in most of the colleges/universities on some subjects, whose meaning is that non-English-subject teachers conduct their teaching in English, for instance, teachers of mathematics use English to give instructions on mathematics to their students in the class. It is possible for those students in the bilingual teaching classroom to take more responsibilities for their own English learning because their aims are to complete the course in English and to learn more about the development of their majors. Student B is such a kind of student.

**Student B (male)** I am a student of bilingual teaching class of management. We use the authentic textbooks in English. In order to learn more about the development on management and immersed the bilingual environment, I spend my spare time looking up new words, doing more listening exercises after class. I also make and adjust my learning plan by myself according to the progress of English teaching. I also concentrate more attention to the information about management by surfing on the internet or searching materials in the libraries where I seldom went before receiving the bilingual teaching.

For those who are not involved in the bilingual teaching environment, it’s possible for them to learn English more autonomously if they consider English learning can facilitate their understanding more about their majors. Student C is a good example.

**Student C (female)** English is very important. (*On which aspect?*) For example, English is one of the most widely-used working languages in the international academic conferences. In addition, if you want to learn more developments on certain field outside, you have to be able to read materials in English freely because many foreign academic journals on my major are published in English. (*How did you learn English then?*) Well, besides attentive listening to the teachers’ explanations and careful notes making, I autonomously look for the materials in English to read and listen/watch the videos in order to improve my English in every aspect. I frequently go to the libraries to read the journals in English and look for the materials on the websites. When reading those journals, I record the oft-used words on a notebook and recite them. Meanwhile, I do not often look up the new words in the dictionary, because I pay more attention to understanding the main ideas of the articles.

4.4 On the relationship between cultural motivation and AEL

Table 5 indicates that cultural motivation correlates with AEL ($r = 0.414^{* *}$) at the significant level of .000, which means learners with greater cultural motivation possess higher AEL.

As is mentioned, cultural motivation includes motivation of intrinsic interest, motivation of social responsibility and motivation of going abroad, and results of the study also show there are positive correlations between those three types of motivations and AEL respectively. Therefore, the illustrations on the correlations between sub-motivations and AEL one by one can facilitate the understanding of the reasons for the positive correlation between cultural motivation and AEL.

4.4.1 On motivation of intrinsic interest and AEL

Results of the study tell us the correlation between motivation of intrinsic interest and AEL ($r = 0.513^{* *}$) is the highest of all the coefficients, which is in line with Noels (2001;107) that the lower was students’ intrinsic motivation, the less the students felt they were autonomous agents in the learning
process. This finding proves the logical illustrations by many researchers, such as, Deci and Ryan (1985), Little (1991), Usioda (1996), etc. Deci and Ryan (1985, 261) claim that intrinsic motivation leads to more effective learning. Little (1991: 93) claims that if learners' engagement in formal learning is to approach this level of personal involvement and intrinsic motivation, it is clear that they must develop, or be encouraged to develop, their own reasons for learning, their own agenda, and their own goals. Usioda (1996: 19-20) claims that intrinsic learning motivation has a number of positive features, one of which is "an expression of the personal control and autonomy in the learning process". Pierson (1996) gives the idea of the deep approach6 which means that because of their internal interests or curiosities, learners take part in the learning with full of efforts in various ways, in which they engage passionately and positively. If the students are given opportunities to "do" language for their own personal reasons of achieving competence and autonomy, surely those learners will have a better chance of success than if they become dependent on external rewards for their motivation (Brown 2000: 38-39).

The above arguments all stress the importance of motivation of intrinsic interest and AEL, which is supported by the interview of student D as follows:

**Student D (female)** *(Do you like learning English?)* Yes, of course. *(Why?)* I have loved English very much since I started to learn it, because I want to learn more about cultures of English-speaking countries. *(Can you describe your English learning in detail?)* Ok. I listened to the teacher attentively in the class and make a plan by myself. In my spare time, I read several concise English novels and magazines, for example, *Gone with the Wind*, *English World* etc. I also often listen to the Special English of VOA or BBC. I can understand the teaching aims and can adjust my plan according to the progress of my learning. I can make use of every chance to practice my English. For example, have free talks in the English Corner with interests, because I can learn something more about the target culture. Although I passed CET-4, I will stick to my English learning like before, because I love it.

### 4.4.2 On motivation of social responsibility and AEL

Table 5 indicates that there exists a positive correlation \( r = .239^{***} \) between motivation of social responsibility and AEL at the significant level of .000.

As is mentioned in part two, it is a specific motivation which shares the elements of instrumental motivation and cultural motivation. Those with motivation of social responsibility learn English either for "their parents" (i.e. they live up to their parents' expectations and care for their English learning) or for the contributions to the cultural communication between China and western world. In a word, motivated by this type of motivation, learners have a sense of social responsibility. But is it a controversial issue that learning English autonomously and being responsible for society? Definitely NO! Chickering (1969) states that individual must accept interdependence, recognizing that one cannot receive benefits from a social structure without contributing to it, that personal rights have a correlative social responsibility (cited from Boud 1987: 29). Boud (1987) contends that the aim of autonomous learning isn't normally for students simply to become learners who are individualistic or work on their own.

Autonomous approaches do not imply treating learners in isolation from one another. What is usually sought is that students become interdependent learners, working with and helping each other... Interdependence is therefore an essential component of autonomy in action. The shift to interdependence is often portrayed as a process starting from dependency and moving progressively through counterdependence and independence to interdependence (see Figure 3). In this view, independence, meaning independence to interdependence from a teacher or authority figure, is a stage through which learners need to pass in any given context to reach a more mature form of relationship which places them in the world and interrelating to it rather than being apart from it.

![Figure 3. Stages of development in learning (Boud 1987: 29)](image)

---

The interview of student D provides the supportive evidence.

**Student E (female)** My parents are both workers. Since I was born, they contributed all themselves to my growth. They bought the pens, pencils, erasers and notebooks for me. They are thrifty and make savings to my English learning, for example, sending me to the **hukeban**, even when they were laid off work when I was a second-year senior high school student. So when I recall the efforts and investments my parents gave me, I can’t help studying English hard. (Would you please give me some details?) I mean, I get up early every day and read English before breakfast, listen to teachers in class and have detailed revisions by going through the notes and doing extra exercises actively. I can also find ways to solve the problems, for example, ask help from teachers and classmates. When encountering difficulties, the idea occurs to me that I have to insist on and live up to my parents! Although I passed CET-4 last term, I still hold on the habits.

Student D learns English autonomously for repaying her parents’ investments both on spirits and on materials. Although in our interview there is no such a student who wants to be the actor of culture communication, it is possible for the students motivated with such purpose to learn English autonomously, because, in their opinions, they are burdened the heavy and honorable tasks they are willing to contribute themselves to the career of culture communication.

4.4.3 On motivation of going abroad and AEL

The correlation between motivation of going abroad and AEL tends to be positive (**r = .187**), which tells us that the more this type of motivation learners possess, the stronger their AEL will be. It is comprehensible that motivation of going abroad itself shares the elements of both instrumental motivation when learners take going abroad as one way to find a good job and cultural motivation when they desire to learn more about the foreign cultures by stepping abroad. The above statements have indicated that those with instrumental or cultural motivation are more willing to take responsibility to their own English learning, i.e., conduct autonomous English learning, therefore, it is natural for the learners with motivation of going abroad to perform autonomously when they learn English.

5. Conclusions

Generally speaking, the findings of this study provide the quantitative supports to the issue that there really exist correlations between motivation and AEL. For one thing, compared with instrumental motivation and motivation of learning situation, cultural motivation correlates with AEL more closely. Moreover, motivation of intrinsic interest shows much more close relationship with AEL compared with other sub-motivations. Finally, there is no significant correlation between motivation of learning situation and AEL, which is a new finding mentioned by nobody before. Based on the findings, it is implied that teachers should attach more importance to triggering students’ various motivations to promote their autonomy in English learning as follows:

Firstly, English teachers should enhance students’ AEL by stimulating their motivation of intrinsic interest. Results of the study indicate that there is a significant correlation between motivation of intrinsic interest and autonomy. Interview of student B also proves that this type of motivation will lead him pertain to high AEL within a long period. English teachers are entitled with new roles as facilitators and helpers for students’ English learning nowadays, therefore, they should take some measures to stimulate the students’ motivation of intrinsic interest, for example, providing the knowledge concerning the target culture when having classes as much as possible, which can absorb the students’ attentions to the learning English because they can learn much more about the western world.

In the second place, teachers should pay due attentions to facilitating the transfer from the instrumental motivation to the motivation of intrinsic interest. The study tells us that most of students possess high instrumental motivation, which can in a sense exert high influence on the AEL. Many researchers have proved that instrumental motivation is a prominent motivation in the Chinese context, but the instrumental motivation can’t sustain the learners’ drive to learn English in a long run, i.e., when the instrumental goals, for instance, passing the examination, disappeared, learners with this kind of motivation may perform less autonomously than before. Therefore it is necessary for the teachers to lead
the transfer from the instrumental motivation to the motivation of intrinsic interest for the promotion of their AEL. For instance, teachers can help students build their own intrinsic reward system by emphasizing mastery of specific goals, not comparison with other students.

In addition, teachers shouldn’t ignore the creation of supportive environments to stimulate learners’ motivations of learning situation for the further promotion of their AEL. After all, there is insignificant correlation between motivation of learning situation and AEL which means the former exert some influence on AEL, for example, student A. In fact, creating a harmonious, democratic environment can stimulate motivation of learning situation thus to promote AEL, which is a win-win strategy for AEL enhancements. As is mentioned in part three, the learning environment at least contains three elements: teacher, learning task and students. So teachers should firstly improve their own qualities, such as, being caring, patient, tolerant, empathic, open and non-judgmental etc (Holec 1985; 184-185). At the same time, rich and appropriate learning tasks should be carefully designed and teachers should pay attention to the operations of those tasks in the classroom teaching, i.e., adjusting the tasks according to the feedback of students, which renders the possibilities to create the better learning environment.

Although this paper makes an exploratory study on the links between motivation and AEL, there still exists some weakness. Firstly, the scope of sampling is confined to two universities and the subjects are mostly students of science, as well as the number of the subjects is limited to 471. The results of this present study remain to be tested by a large-scale research. Secondly, only 7 subjects were interviewed. None of them possesses motivation of going abroad. For the future study, it is recommended that considering the complexity of the relationship between motivation and AEL, more deep research, for example, on self-motivation and AEL or on the effect of AEL on the motivation, should be conducted in future. In addition, how to design a more appropriate instrument to test AEL and motivation is still an issue to be further discussed.
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Notes
1. The relevant articles in English were retrieved from the hardcopies of Applied Linguistics, Language Learning, Language Teaching, System, TESOL; and those in Chinese were browsed from CNKI, the largest academic database in China.
2. In Spratt and Chan’s article, they state, “... motivation was not the main focus of this study but its constant occurrence in the results led to it” (2002; 247).
3. Although the studies by Cotterall (1999) and Spratt & Chan (2002) are quantitative, yet they don’t take the link between motivation and LA as their focus. As Spratt and Chan state “... the study didn’t focus mainly on the relationship between autonomy and motivation. The relationship needs further direct investigation using other methodologies” (2002; 262). Dickinson (1995) and Ushioda (1998) argue the link between motivation and LA in a logical not an empirical way.
4. The item number of AEL part is 23, which comes from the 32 items by Xu Jinfen et al; while the number of items in motivation part is 25 with 5 being deleted.
5. The italic and underlined words in the bracket stand for the questions proposed by the author in the process of the interview.
6. Pierson (1996) proposes “Learning approach theory”, which means that three distinct processes that could go on when an individual approaches learning tasks. The approaches are surface, deep and achieving. These
approaches are determined by learner motivation and orientation, and the tactics and strategies the learner uses when dealing with learning tasks.

7. It is a kind of profitable class organized by non-official education organization where students make up what they lost in school learning or extend the knowledge.
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Appendix 1

Questionnaire on Non-English Major Undergraduates' EFL Motivations and Their Autonomy in English Learning

The following questionnaire is designed for the research on the relationship between non-English majors undergraduates' EFL motivations and their autonomy in English learning in the Chinese context. It's sincerely hoped that you can answer each item honestly and frankly according to your own opinion or learning experiences. There are NO "correct" answers. All the data collected will be highly confidential and will be used for the research only.

Part One Background Information
1. Please fill in the blanks or tick only one choice according to your own experiences.
2. Sex ______
3. Name of institute/college/university ______________________________
4. Number on the name list __________
5. Major __________
6. Period of English learning: A. 8 years B. 10 years C. more than 10 years
7. Have you passed CET-4? A. Yes B. No
8. If you have passed CET-4, its scores are __________

Part Two
Below there are 23 items concerning this research. There are no right or wrong answers. We're simply interested in your opinions. Please tick the number which best indicates your opinion in the bracket at the end of each statement. The numbers stand for the following responses:

1 = I strongly disagree with this statement
2 = I disagree with this statement
3 = I neither agree nor disagree with this statement
4 = I agree with this statement
5 = I strongly agree with this statement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I'm very clear of the teaching aims.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I can identify my learning goals based on my understanding of the teaching aims.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>In the English class, I can always catch up with rhythms of my English teacher.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I have clear schedules on English learning besides the assignments by my English teacher.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I can set clear goals of English learning.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>In the listening practice, I can employ effective listening strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>In the speaking practice, I can employ effective speaking strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>In the reading practice, I can employ effective reading strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>In the writing practice, I can employ effective writing strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>In the listening practice, I can monitor the usage of listening strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>In the speaking practice, I can monitor the usage of speaking strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>In the reading practice, I can monitor the usage of reading strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>In the writing practice, I can monitor the usage of writing strategies consciously.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>I often reflect whether my learning approaches are appropriate for my English learning. I can desert those improper approaches and employ the effective ones.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>I often make use of various available learning resources, such as, library, internet, English broadcasting, English corner etc to improve my English.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>I feel at a loss when facing some affect problems, such as, anxiety, inhibition, shyness etc. For example, I don't know what to do when I talk with others in English.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>I can put the newly-learned English knowledge into English practice, for example, using new words in oral English communications.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>I never conduct cooperative English learning with others, for example, I don't like practising my spoken English with others.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>In the process of English learning, I am aware of the mistakes, find out underlying reasons and correct them.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part Three
Below there are 25 items concerning this research. There are no right or wrong answers. We’re simply interested in your opinions BASED ON YOUR LEARNING EXPERIENCES. Please tick the number which best indicates your opinion in the bracket at the end of each statement. The numbers stand for the following responses:

1 = This statement is extremely untrue with my situation
2 = This statement is untrue with my situation
3 = I am not sure whether this statement is true or not with my situation
4 = This statement is true with my situation
5 = This statement is extremely true with my situation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extremely Untrue</th>
<th>Extremely True</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>I fell in love with English at the first sight, therefore, I like learning English for no reasons.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>I learn English just because my parents force me to learn.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>After entering university, my English learning is highly affected by test scores.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>After entering university, my English learning depends on whether I love my English teacher or not.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>After entering university, my English learning is highly influenced by the quality of English teaching.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>After entering university, my English learning is highly affected by the textbooks.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>The motivation of my college English learning depends mostly on whether I love the class that I belong to, i.e. whether I am involved in an active atmosphere of English learning.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>To obtain the diploma of college study is one of the most important motivations of my English learning.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>It is important to learn English well, because it is a very useful tool for social communication.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>To learn English well will give me a sense of achievement.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2  Interview Protocol

1. Please make a brief induction of yourself, such as, your major, how long you have learned English, whether you have passed CET-4.

2. Please describe your daily English learning. (The following questions are used to make a prompt if necessary.
   1) Are you clear of your teachers' teaching aims?
   2) Do you often make plans on English learning? If you do, is it under the requirements of your English teacher?
   3) Can you catch up with the rhythms of your English teacher in class?
   4) Do you know what the English learning strategies are?
   5) How about your English learning strategies? Do you often use them in your English learning?
   6) Do you reflect the effectiveness of those strategies in your learning?
   7) Do you often think it over that your learning methods/approaches are effective? If they are not efficient, what will you do?

   (Continued on p. 75... )
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preprimer</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>First grade</th>
<th>Second grade</th>
<th>Third grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>here (F2)</td>
<td>good (F2)</td>
<td>her (F1)</td>
<td>made (F1)</td>
<td>much (F2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (F1)</td>
<td>have (F1)</td>
<td>him (F1)</td>
<td>many (F1)</td>
<td>never (F3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in (F1)</td>
<td>he (F1)</td>
<td>his (F1)</td>
<td>off (F2)</td>
<td>only (F2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is (F1)</td>
<td>into (F1)</td>
<td>how (F1)</td>
<td>or (F1)</td>
<td>own (F3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>it (F1)</td>
<td>like (F1)</td>
<td>just (F2)</td>
<td>read (F2)</td>
<td>small (F2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>little (F2)</td>
<td>must (F2)</td>
<td>know (F2)</td>
<td>right (F2)</td>
<td>start (F3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>look (F1)</td>
<td>new (F2)</td>
<td>let (F3)</td>
<td>tell (F2)</td>
<td>together (F3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>make (F1)</td>
<td>no (F1)</td>
<td>live (F2)</td>
<td>their (F1)</td>
<td>try (F2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me (F2)</td>
<td>now (F1)</td>
<td>may (F1)</td>
<td>these (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>my (F1)</td>
<td>on (F1)</td>
<td>of (F1)</td>
<td>those (F3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not (F1)</td>
<td>our (F2)</td>
<td>old (F2)</td>
<td>us (F2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one (F1)</td>
<td>out (F1)</td>
<td>open (F3)</td>
<td>use (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>play (F2)</td>
<td>saw (F3)</td>
<td>over (F1)</td>
<td>very (F2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run (F3)</td>
<td>say (F2)</td>
<td>put (F2)</td>
<td>which (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>said (F1)</td>
<td>she (F1)</td>
<td>some (F1)</td>
<td>why (F2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see (F1)</td>
<td>so (F1)</td>
<td>stop (F3)</td>
<td>work (F2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the (F1)</td>
<td>soon (F3)</td>
<td>take (F2)</td>
<td>would (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three (F2)</td>
<td>that (F1)</td>
<td>them (F1)</td>
<td>write (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to (F1)</td>
<td>there (F1)</td>
<td>then (F1)</td>
<td>your (F1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two (F1)</td>
<td>they (F1)</td>
<td>think (F2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up (F1)</td>
<td>this (F1)</td>
<td>walk (F3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we (F1)</td>
<td>too (F2)</td>
<td>were (F1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>where (F2)</td>
<td>was (F1)</td>
<td>when (F1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you (F1)</td>
<td>well (F2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F in parentheses represents Fry and the number shows the level in the Fry word list.

(...continued from p. 97)

8) Do you often go to library? Do you frequently borrow some magazines or reading materials in English?
9) Do you often practice your spoken English with your classmates?
10) If you are depressed on the failures in one or two exams, what will you do?
11) Do you often exchange English learning experience with your classmates?
12) Are you aware of the errors/mistakes in your English learning? Can you correct them? Give me an example.
13) Can you check and correct the comprehensions of the previous knowledge in the process of completing learning task? Can you give me an example?
14) Can you adapt your learning plan according to your learning progress? How did you adapt it?
15) Can you plan and adapt your learning time effectively? How did you do that?
16) Would you please tell me why you learn English?
17) Do you think it important that learning English in a good environment? Is your English learning affected largely by your English teacher, the quality of English class, the textbook and the learning atmosphere of your class?
对象进行了为期十周的调查。调查内容包括要求研究对象根据各种图片估算英语单词的中文意义。在此过程中，作者对研究对象的认知英语单词和中文汉语的能力进行了评估。
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探索外语教师职业发展新途径：批评性阅读教学法及其在亚洲课堂教学实践中所面临的挑战

新加坡南洋理工大学国家教育学院英语系 张 军

……

外语教师能否在教学中进行批评性阅读教学，提高学生的阅读鉴赏与辨别能力？本文就外语教师师范教育中不可或缺的阅读教法课程的实际改进作用。本文从学生文化理论及批评性话语分析理论入手，针对批评性阅读教学法在35名外语教师教师的批评性阅读教学法中所实施的课程实例片段进行分析、诠释和讨论，旨在把英语教师批评性阅读教学法在批判性阅读教学中所面临的挑战。在评价外语教师批评性阅读教学法在教师职业发展中的重要性以及在亚洲外语课堂实践中所面临的挑战。
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非英语专业大学生英语学习动机与自主性英语学习能力相关性研究

北京大学外国语学院英语系 刘云刚 …… 82

本文将英语学习动机作为影响自主性英语学习能力（AEL）的一个因素，采用定性与定量相结合的方法，通过对471名非英语专业大二年级学生进行“非英语专业大学生英语学习动机与自主性英语学习能力”的调查，发现不同类型的动机与自主学习能力之间存在不同程度的相关。结论：相关理论认为，师生之间的关系影响了分析，并提出了相关建议。
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英语教科书之动词—名词词词搭配：语料库研究

国立台湾第一科技大学 王竞欣 R. L. Good

……

本研究主要探讨最多学生使用之三系列高中英语教科书（分别为远东、龙腾以及三民版）中的“动词—名词词词搭配”之呈现状况。研究结果发现，动词—名词词词搭配于三系列高中英语教科书中的数量相似（各系列共六册中约有370-430个），然而，各系列只有极少数（约4%-7%)是整个字词搭配被特殊命名在课本中以及少数(约20%)在该系列中出现一次以上。本研究结果显示，字词搭配并非如高中英语教科书着墨之重点，因此，我们就是否出版商应重新评估如何在字词搭配呈现于教科书中。除了可以藉由课本中所列名更多字词搭配以提升学生对字词搭配的注意力，亦可增加每个字词搭配的出现次数及适宜数量，以便减轻学生习得个别字词搭配。再者，以现有阶段来看，为促进学习语言流畅度，教师应将字词搭配纳入课堂教学，以弥补教科书中字词搭配被明显标示于课本中以及多文字字搭配出现次数不足之情形。

关键词：词组搭配；语料库研究；英语教科书；台湾高中

学习策略培训对大学生心理理解影响之实证研究

内蒙古科技大学包头医学院 马江涛 …… 109

本文研究学习策略培训对大学生心理理解之影响进行了实证研究，旨在探究学习策略的培训性。研究随机选取三所大学的实验班与对照班为研究对象，运用Oxford (1996) 学习策略问卷和大学英语四级考试听力部分试题作为研究工具，对经历了15周策略培训的实验班与对照班进行了测试与评估。研究结果表明，学习策略培训对大学生心理理解有促进作用，可使学生心理理解明显提高。本文提出了研究的启示，即教师应充分认识策略培训的重要性，把策略教学融入正常的教学中，鼓励学生在心理理解过程中运用学习策略，从而帮助其成为有效学习者。

关键词：学习策略；心理理解性；心理理解；策略培训；策略调查；策略教学

母语在大学英语课堂教学中的使用研究

吉林大学（新校区）公共外语教学与研究中心 崔 敏 袁 丽 …… 119

本文主要针对母语在英语教学的使用情况进行的实证研究。研究内容包括教师在课堂上使用母语的情况、学生使用母语的情形。研究发现，所有的受试对象在大学英语教学中都不同程度的使用母语，使用量由“很多”到“很少”不等；教师认为母语与英语语言的使用比例；教师在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；教师在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；学生在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；教师在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；学生在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；教师在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；学生在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；教师在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况；学生在某些课堂内容中使用母语更加频繁，例如课间交流、讲解词汇和录音的使用情况。文章最后在总结文献和本文的调查结果的基础上提出了几点建议。

关键词：大学英语教学；语言选择；汉语的使用